

# POSITIVE POLYNOMIALS LECTURE NOTES

(05: 27/04/10 - BEARBEITET 07/01/2019)

SALMA KUHLMANN

## Contents

1. The Real Spectrum	1
2. Topologies on $\mathcal{Sper}(A)$	2
3. Abstract Positivstellensatz	3

### 1. THE REAL SPECTRUM

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with 1. We set:

$\mathcal{Sper}(A) := \{ \alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal of } A \text{ and } \leq \text{ is an ordering on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \}$ .

**Note 1.2.**  $\mathcal{Sper}(A) := \{ \alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a real prime and } \leq \text{ an ordering on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq) \in \mathcal{Sper}(A)$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Supp}(\alpha)$ , the **Support** of  $\alpha$ .

**Recall 1.4.** An **ordering**  $P \subseteq A$  is a preordering with  $P \cup -P = A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P$  prime ideal of  $A$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Alternatively, the **Real Spectrum** of  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{Sper}(A)$  can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{Sper}(A) := \{ P \mid P \subseteq A, P \text{ is an ordering of } A \}.$$

**Remark 1.6.** The two definitions of  $\mathcal{Sper}(A)$  are equivalent in the following sense:

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \left\{ \text{Orderings in } A \right\} &\rightsquigarrow \left\{ (\mathfrak{p}, \leq), \mathfrak{p} \text{ real prime, } \leq \text{ ordering on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \right\} \\ P &\longmapsto \mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P, \leq_P \text{ on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\quad \left( \text{where } \frac{\bar{a}}{b} \geq_P 0 \Leftrightarrow ab \in P \text{ with } \bar{a} = a + \mathfrak{p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is bijective [where  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}, \leq)$  is  $P := \{a \in A \mid \bar{a} \geq 0\}$ ].  $\square$

## 2. TOPOLOGIES ON $\mathcal{S}per(A)$

**Definition 2.1.** The **Spectral Topology** on  $\mathcal{S}per(A)$ :  
 $\mathcal{S}per(A)$  as a topological space, subbasis of open sets is:

$$\mathcal{U}(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid a \notin P\}, a \in A.$$

(So a basis of open sets consists of finite intersection, i.e. of sets

$$\mathcal{U}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \notin P\})$$

Then close by arbitrary unions to get all open sets.

This is called Spectral Topology.

**Definition 2.2.** The **Constructible (or Patch) Topology** on  $\mathcal{S}per(A)$  is the topology that is generated by the open sets  $\mathcal{U}(a)$  and their complements  $\mathcal{S}per(A) \setminus \mathcal{U}(a)$ , for  $a \in A$ .

(Subbasis for constructible topology is  $\mathcal{U}(a), \mathcal{S}per(A) \setminus \mathcal{U}(a)$ , for  $a \in A$ .)

**Remark 2.3.** The constructible topology is finer than the Spectral Topology (i.e. more open sets).

**Special case:**  $A = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$

**Proposition 2.4.** There is a natural embedding

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}per(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$$

given by

$$\underline{x} \longmapsto P_{\underline{x}} := \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The map  $\mathcal{P}$  is well defined.

**Verify that**  $P_{\underline{x}}$  is indeed an ordering of  $A$ .

Clearly it is a preordering,  $P_{\underline{x}} \cup -P_{\underline{x}} = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ .

$\mathfrak{p} := P_{\underline{x}} \cap -P_{\underline{x}} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) = 0\}$  is actually a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ ,

since  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ker}(ev_{\underline{x}})$ , the kernel of the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} ev_{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f &\longmapsto f(\underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

so,  $\frac{\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]}{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{R}}_{\text{a field}}$  (by first isomorphism theorem)

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  maximal  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  is prime ideal. □

**Theorem 2.5.**  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the image of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]), \text{Constructible Topology})$  and hence in  $(\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]), \text{Spectral Topology})$ .  
(i.e.  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\text{patch}} = \mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ ).

*Proof.* By definition, a basic open set in  $\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$  has the form

$\mathcal{U} = \{P \in \mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]) \mid f_i \notin P, g_j \in P; i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t\}$ , for some  $f_i, g_j \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{U}$  (open neighbourhood of  $P \in \mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ )

We want to **show that:**  $\exists \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $P_{\underline{y}} \in \mathcal{U}$

Consider  $F = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]/\mathfrak{p}$ ;  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Supp}(P) = P \cap -P$  and  $\leq$  ordering on  $F$  induced by  $P$ .

Then  $(F, \leq)$  is an ordered field extension of  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ .

Consider  $\underline{x} = (\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}) \in F^n$ , where  $\overline{x_i} = X_i + \mathfrak{p}$

Then by definition of  $\leq$  we have (as in the proof of PSS):

$f_i(\underline{x}) < 0$  and  $g_j(\underline{x}) \geq 0$ ;  $\forall i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t$ .

By Tarski Transfer,  $\exists \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.

$f_i(\underline{y}) < 0$  ( $\Leftrightarrow f_i \notin P_{\underline{y}}$ ) and  $g_j(\underline{y}) \geq 0$  ( $\Leftrightarrow g_j \in P_{\underline{y}}$ ) ;  $i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t$

$\Leftrightarrow P_{\underline{y}} \in \mathcal{U}$  □

## 3. ABSTRACT POSITIVSTELLENSATZ

**Recall 3.1.**  $T$  proper preordering  $\Rightarrow \exists P$  an ordering of  $A$  s.t.  $P \supseteq T$ .

**Definiton 3.2.** Let  $P$  be an ordering of  $A$ , fix  $a \in A$ . We define **Sign of  $a$  at  $P$**  :

$$a(P) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \notin -P \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in P \cap -P \\ -1 & \text{if } a \notin P \end{cases}$$

(Note that this allows to consider  $a \in A$  as a map on  $\mathcal{S}per(A)$ ).

**Notation 3.3.** We write:  $a > 0$  at  $P$  if  $a(P) = 1$   
 $a = 0$  at  $P$  if  $a(P) = 0$   
 $a < 0$  at  $P$  if  $a(P) = -1$

Note that (in this notation)  $a \geq 0$  at  $P$  iff  $a \in P$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $T \subseteq A$ , then the **Relative Spectrum** of  $A$  with respect to  $T$  is

$$\mathcal{S}per_T(A) = \{P \mid P \supseteq T; P \subseteq A \text{ is an ordering of } A\}.$$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $T \subseteq A$  be a finitely generated preordering, say  $T = T_S$ ; where  $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}per_T(A) &= \mathcal{S}per_S(A) = \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid g_i \in P ; i = 1, \dots, s\} \\ &= \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid g_i(P) \geq 0 ; i = 1, \dots, s\} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.5.** Let  $T \subseteq A$

(i)  $\mathcal{S}per_T(A)$  inherits the relative spectral (respectively constructible) topology.

(ii) In case  $T = T_{\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}}$  is a finitely generated preordering, then the proof of Theorem 2.5 goes through to give the following relative version for  $\mathcal{S}per_T$ :

**Theorem 3.6. (Relative version of Theorem 2.5)** Let  $T = T_S =$  finitely generated preordering;  $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ . Let  $K = K_S = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(\underline{x}) \geq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , a basic closed semi-algebraic set. Consider  $(\mathcal{S}per_T, \text{Constructible Topology})$ . Then

$$\mathcal{P} : K \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$$

(defined as before)

$$\underline{x} \longmapsto P_{\underline{x}} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) \geq 0 \right\}$$

is well defined (i.e.  $P_{\underline{x}} \supseteq T \forall \underline{x} \in K$ ).

Moreover  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]), \text{Constructible Topology})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

(Note the fact that  $T$  is finitely generated is crucial here to be able to apply Tarski Transfer.)  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7. (Abstract Positivstellensatz)** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $T \subseteq A$  be a preordering of  $A$  (not necessarily finitely generated). Then for  $a \in A$ :

- (1)  $a > 0$  on  $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists p, q \in T$  s.t.  $pa = 1 + q$
- (2)  $a \geq 0$  on  $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists p, q \in T, m \geq 0$  s.t.  $pa = a^{2m} + q$
- (3)  $a = 0$  on  $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists m \geq 0$  s.t.  $-a^{2m} \in T$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a > 0$  on  $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A)$ . Suppose for a contradiction that there are no elements  $p, q \in T$  s.t.  $pa = 1 + q$  i.e. s.t.  $-1 = q - pa$

i.e.  $-1 \neq q - pa \forall p, q \in T$

Thus  $-1 \notin T' := T - Ta$ .

$\Rightarrow T'$  is a proper preordering.

So (by recall 3.1)  $\exists P$  an ordering of  $A$  with  $T' \subseteq P$ .

Now observe that  $T \subseteq P$  i.e.  $P \in \mathcal{Sper}_T(A)$  but  $-a \in P$  (i.e.  $a(P) \leq 0$ ) i.e.  $a \leq 0$  on  $P$ , a contradiction to the assumption.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** Abstract Positivstellensatz  $\Rightarrow$  Positivstellensatz.

*Proof.*  $A = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}], T = T_S = T_{\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}}, K = K_S$ .

It suffices to show (2) of PSS [Theorem 1.1 of lecture 03 on 20/04/10], i.e.  $f \geq 0$  on  $K_S \Leftrightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists p, q \in T_S$  s.t.  $pf = f^{2m} + q$ .

Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$  and  $f \geq 0$  on  $K_S$ .

It suffices [by (2) of Theorem 3.7] to show that  $f \geq 0$  on  $\mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ :

If not then  $\exists P \in \mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$  s.t.  $f \notin P$

So,  $P \in \mathcal{U}_T(f)$

(open neighbourhood of  $P \in \mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ )

Now by [Theorem 3.6 i.e.] relative density of  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  in  $\mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ :

$\exists \underline{x} \in K$  s.t.  $P_{\underline{x}} \in \mathcal{U}_T(f)$

$\Rightarrow f \notin P_{\underline{x}} \Rightarrow f(\underline{x}) < 0$ , a contradiction to the assumption. □